arXiv:1702.03462v1 [math.CO] 11 Feb 2017

An overpartition analogue of partitions with bounded differences between largest and smallest parts

Shane Chern

Abstract. We study the generating function for overpartitions with bounded differences between largest and smallest parts, which is analogous to a result of Breuer and Kronholm on integer partitions. We also connect this problem with over q-binomial coefficients introduced by Dousse and Kim.

Keywords. Overpartition, bounded difference between largest and smallest parts, over q-binomial coefficient.

2010MSC. Primary 05A17; Secondary 11P84.

1. Introduction

A *partition* of a positive integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ with $n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_k$. For example, 4 has five partitions: 4, $3+1$, $2+2$, $2+1+1$, $1+1+1+1$. Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n. It is well known that its generating function is

$$1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} p(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty},$$

where, as usual, we use the standard notations

$$(a)_n = (a;q)_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(1-aq^k),$$

and

$$(a)_\infty = (a;q)_\infty := \lim_{n\to\infty}(a)_n.$$

Recently, Andrews, Beck, and Robbins [2] considered partitions where the difference between largest and smallest parts is a fixed integer t. Let $p(n,t)$ be the number of such partitions of n. We have, for example, $p(4,1) = 1$ since 4 has only one such partition: $2+1+1$. In fact, Andrews *et al.* showed that $p(n,0) = d(n)$ and $p(n,1) = n - d(n)$ where, and in the sequel, $d(n)$ denotes the number of divisors of n. For $t \geq 2$, they obtained the following generating function

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} p(n,t)q^n = \frac{q^{t-1}(1-q)}{(1-q^t)(1-q^{t-1})} - \frac{q^{t-1}(1-q)}{(1-q^t)(1-q^{t-1})(q)_t} + \frac{q^t}{(1-q^{t-1})(q)_t}. \quad (1.1)$$

In a subsequent paper [4], Breuer and Kronholm further considered partitions where the difference between largest and smallest parts is at most t. When $t = 1$, the four such partitions of 4 are: 4, $2+2$, $2+1+1$, $1+1+1+1$. Let $p_t(n)$ be the number of such partitions of n. Its generating function is, in fact, even

neater. Breuer and Kronholm proved in two ways (one is geometric and the other is combinatorial) that for $t \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} p_t(n)q^n = \frac{1}{1-q^t}\left(\frac{1}{(q)_t} - 1\right). \tag{1.2}$$

Later on, Chapman [5] also provided an analytic proof which only uses elementary q-series manipulation as deep as the q-binomial theorem.

In this paper, we shall study an overpartition analogue of Breuer and Kronholm's result.

2. An overpartition analogue

An *overpartition* of n is a partition of n where the first occurrence of each distinct part may be overlined. For example, 4 has fourteen overpartitions:

$$4,\ \overline{4},\ 3+1,\ \overline{3}+1,\ 3+\overline{1},\ \overline{3}+\overline{1},\ 2+2,\ \overline{2}+2,$$
$$2+1+1,\ \overline{2}+1+1,\ 2+\overline{1}+1,\ \overline{2}+\overline{1}+1,\ 1+1+1+1,\ \overline{1}+1+1+1.$$

Overpartitions have many applications in combinatorics [6], mathematical physics [8], representation theory [9], and symmetric functions [3]. We denote by $\overline{p}(n)$ the number of overpartitions of n. It is known that

$$1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} \overline{p}(n)q^n = \frac{(-q)_\infty}{(q)_\infty}.$$

Given a non-negative integer t, let $\overline{p}_t(n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n whose difference between largest and smallest parts is at most t. Furthermore, let $g_t(n)$ be the number of such overpartitions of n with one more restriction: if the difference between largest and smallest parts is exactly t, then the largest parts cannot be overlined. For example, we have $\overline{p}_1(4) = 10$:

$$4,\ \overline{4},\ 2+2,\ \overline{2}+2,\ 2+1+1,\ \overline{2}+1+1,$$
$$2+\overline{1}+1,\ \overline{2}+\overline{1}+1,\ 1+1+1+1,\ \overline{1}+1+1+1,$$

and $g_1(4) = 8$:

$$4,\ \overline{4},\ 2+2,\ \overline{2}+2,\ 2+1+1,$$
$$2+\overline{1}+1,\ 1+1+1+1,\ \overline{1}+1+1+1.$$

It is clear that $\overline{p}_0(n) = 2d(n)$ and $g_0(n) = d(n)$. We now write

$$\overline{P}_t(q) := \sum_{n\geq 1} \overline{p}_t(n)q^n \quad \text{and} \quad G_t(q) := \sum_{n\geq 1} g_t(n)q^n.$$

Theorem 2.1. *For $t \geq 1$, we have*

$$G_t(q) = \frac{1}{1-q^t}\left(\frac{(-q)_t}{(q)_t} - 1\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 2.1. It is of interest to compare the similarity between this generating function and the result of Breuer and Kronholm (Eq. (1.2)).

Theorem 2.2. *For $t \geq 0$, we have*

$$\overline{P}_t(q) = 2(-1)^t\left(\sum_{n\geq 1} d(n)q^n + \sum_{n=1}^{t} \frac{(-1)^n}{1-q^n}\left(\frac{(-q)_n}{(q)_n} - 1\right)\right). \tag{2.2}$$

Before presenting our proofs, we need several q-series identities. At first, we introduce the ${}_r\phi_s$ functions:

$$ {}_{r+1}\phi_s\left(\begin{matrix}a_0,a_1,a_2\ldots,a_r\\ b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_s\end{matrix};q,z\right):=\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(a_0;q)_n(a_1;q)_n\cdots(a_r;q)_n}{(q;q)_n(b_1;q)_n\cdots(b_s;q)_n}\left((-1)^nq^{\binom{n}{2}}\right)^{s-r}z^n. $$

Lemma 2.3 (First q-Chu–Vandermonde Sum [1, Eq. (17.6.2)])**.** *We have*

$$ {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix}a,q^{-n}\\ c\end{matrix};q,cq^n/a\right)=\frac{(c/a;q)_n}{(c;q)_n}. \tag{2.3} $$

Lemma 2.4 ([1, Eq. (17.9.6)])**.** *We have*

$$ {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix}a,b,c\\ d,e\end{matrix};q,de/(abc)\right)=\frac{(e/a;q)_n(de/(bc);q)_n}{(e;q)_n(de/(abc);q)_n}{}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix}a,d/b,d/c\\ d,de/(bc)\end{matrix};q,e/a\right). \tag{2.4} $$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Fix the smallest part to be $m\geq 1$. It is easy to see that, for $t\geq 1$, the generating function for overpartitions, where the smallest part is m, the largest part is at most $m+t$, and if the largest part is exactly $m+t$, then the largest parts cannot be overlined, is

$$ \frac{2q^m}{1-q^m}\frac{1+q^{m+1}}{1-q^{m+1}}\cdots\frac{1+q^{m+t-1}}{1-q^{m+t-1}}\frac{1}{1-q^{m+t}}. $$

Hence,

$$ \begin{aligned}
\frac{G_t(q)}{2}&=\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{q^m}{1-q^m}\frac{1+q^{m+1}}{1-q^{m+1}}\cdots\frac{1+q^{m+t-1}}{1-q^{m+t-1}}\frac{1}{1-q^{m+t}}\\
&=\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{(q)_{m-1}(-q)_{m+t-1}}{(q)_{m+t}(-q)_m}q^m\\
&=q\sum_{m\geq 0}\frac{(q)_m(-q)_{m+t}}{(q)_{m+t+1}(-q)_{m+1}}q^m\\
&=\frac{q(-q)_t}{(1+q)(q)_{t+1}}\sum_{m\geq 0}\frac{(q)_m(q)_m(-q^{t+1})_m}{(q)_m(q^{t+2})_m(-q^2)_m}q^m\\
&=\frac{q(-q)_t}{(1+q)(q)_{t+1}}\ {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix}q,q,-q^{t+1}\\ -q^2,q^{t+2}\end{matrix};q,q\right)\\
\text{(by Eq. (2.4))}&=\frac{q(-q)_t}{(1+q)(q)_{t+1}}\frac{(q^{t+1})_\infty(q^2)_\infty}{(q^{t+2})_\infty(q)_\infty}\ {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix}q,-q,q^{1-t}\\ -q^2,q^2\end{matrix};q,q^{t+1}\right)\\
&=\frac{q(-q)_t}{(1-q^2)(q)_t}\sum_{m\geq 0}\frac{(-q)_m(q^{1-t})_m}{(-q^2)_m(q^2)_m}q^{m(t+1)}\\
&=\frac{q(-q)_t}{(1-q^2)(q)_t}\sum_{m\geq 0}\frac{\frac{(-1)_{m+1}}{2}\frac{(q^{-t})_{m+1}}{1-q^{-t}}}{\frac{(-q)_{m+1}}{1+q}\frac{(q)_{m+1}}{1-q}}q^{(m+1)(t+1)}q^{-(t+1)}\\
&=-\frac{(-q)_t}{2(1-q^t)(q)_t}\left({}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix}-1,q^{-t}\\ -q\end{matrix};q,q^{t+1}\right)-1\right)\\
\text{(by Eq. (2.3))}&=-\frac{(-q)_t}{2(1-q^t)(q)_t}\left(\frac{(q)_t}{(-q)_t}-1\right)\\
&=\frac{1}{2(1-q^t)}\left(\frac{(-q)_t}{(q)_t}-1\right).
\end{aligned} $$

We therefore have

$$G_t(q) = \frac{1}{1-q^t}\left(\frac{(-q)_t}{(q)_t} - 1\right).$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We first note that

$$\overline{P}_0(q) = 2\sum_{n\geq 1} d(n)q^n,$$

and for $t \geq 0$,

$$\overline{P}_t(q) = 2\sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{q^m}{1-q^m}\frac{1+q^{m+1}}{1-q^{m+1}}\cdots\frac{1+q^{m+t}}{1-q^{m+t}}.$$

We also have, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{P}_n(q) + \overline{P}_{n-1}(q) &= 2\sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{q^m}{1-q^m}\frac{1+q^{m+1}}{1-q^{m+1}}\cdots\frac{1+q^{m+n-1}}{1-q^{m+n-1}}\left(\frac{1+q^{m+n}}{1-q^{m+n}}+1\right)\\ &= 4\sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{q^m}{1-q^m}\frac{1+q^{m+1}}{1-q^{m+1}}\cdots\frac{1+q^{m+n-1}}{1-q^{m+n-1}}\frac{1}{1-q^{m+n}}\\ &= 2G_n(q).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $t \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{P}_t(q) &= (-1)^t\left(\overline{P}_0(q) + 2\sum_{n=1}^{t}(-1)^n G_n(q)\right)\\ &= 2(-1)^t\left(\sum_{n\geq 1} d(n)q^n + \sum_{n=1}^{t}\frac{(-1)^n}{1-q^n}\left(\frac{(-q)_n}{(q)_n}-1\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *For any $t \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, $\overline{p}_t(n)$ is an even integer. Furthermore, $\overline{p}_t(n)$ is divisible by 4 if and only if n is not a perfect square.*

Proof. From the previous proof, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{P}_t(q) &= (-1)^t\left(\overline{P}_0(q) + 2\sum_{n=1}^{t}(-1)^n G_n(q)\right)\\ &= (-1)^t\Bigg(2\sum_{n\geq 1} d(n)q^n\\ &\qquad + 4\sum_{n=1}^{t}(-1)^n\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{q^m}{1-q^m}\frac{1+q^{m+1}}{1-q^{m+1}}\cdots\frac{1+q^{m+n-1}}{1-q^{m+n-1}}\frac{1}{1-q^{m+n}}\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\overline{p}_t(n) \equiv 2d(n) \pmod 4.$$

We finally note that $d(n)$ is odd if and only if n is a perfect square. This completes the proof. □

3. The viewpoint of over q-binomial coefficients

The *q-binomial coefficient*, also known as *Gaussian polynomial*, is defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} M+N \\ N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M+N \\ N \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{(q)_{M+N}}{(q)_M (q)_N}.$$

We know that it is the generating function for partitions where the largest part is at most M and the number of parts is at most N. In a recent paper [7], Dousse and Kim introduced the *over q-binomial coefficient*, denoted by

$$\overline{\begin{bmatrix} M+N \\ N \end{bmatrix}} = \overline{\begin{bmatrix} M+N \\ N \end{bmatrix}}_q,$$

which is an overpartition analogue of q-binomial coefficient defined as the generating function for overpartitions where the largest part is at most M and the number of parts is at most N. They showed that for positive integers M and N

$$\overline{\begin{bmatrix} M+N \\ N \end{bmatrix}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(M,N)} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{(q)_{M+N-k}}{(q)_k (q)_{M-k} (q)_{N-k}}.$$

Of course, if we agree that the number of such overpartitions of 0 is one, then this identity also holds for $M = 0$ or $N = 0$.

Over q-binomial coefficients have many properties similar to those of the standard q-binomial coefficients. For example, the following recurrence relation

$$\overline{\begin{bmatrix} M+N \\ N \end{bmatrix}} = \overline{\begin{bmatrix} M+N-1 \\ N-1 \end{bmatrix}} + q^N \overline{\begin{bmatrix} M+N-1 \\ N \end{bmatrix}} + q^N \overline{\begin{bmatrix} M+N-2 \\ N-1 \end{bmatrix}} \tag{3.1}$$

holds for any positive integers M and N (see [7, Eq. (1.1)]). In fact, it can be proved combinatorially.

Motivated by [5], we provide an alternative proof of Theorem 2.1 using over q-binomial coefficients. It avoids the application of complicated q-series identities such as the q-Chu–Vandermonde sum.

Second proof of Theorem 2.1. Here we always assume t to be a positive integer. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)$ be an overpartition of n with exactly r parts, $\lambda_r = m \geq 1$, and $\lambda_1 \leq m + t$. Then $\mu = (\lambda_1 - m, \ldots, \lambda_{r-1} - m)$ is an overpartition of $n - rm$ with at most $r - 1$ parts and greatest part $\leq t$. Note that the first occurrence of the smallest part of λ can be either overlined or not. Hence the generating function for such overpartitions is

$$2q^{rm} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-1 \\ t \end{bmatrix}},$$

and hence

$$\overline{P}_t(q) = 2 \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} q^{rm} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-1 \\ t \end{bmatrix}} = 2 \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{q^r}{1-q^r} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-1 \\ t \end{bmatrix}}.$$

We remark that this identity also holds for $t = 0$.

On the other hand, overpartitions where the difference between largest and smallest parts is at most t can be divided into three disjoint cases:

(1) The largest part is at most t;

(2) The largest part is greater than t, the difference between largest and smallest parts is exactly t, and the first occurrence of the smallest part is overlined;
(3) Otherwise.

For Case (1), one readily sees the generating function is

$$\frac{(-q)_t}{(q)_t} - 1.$$

For Case (2), its generating function is

$$2\sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{q^m}{1-q^m}\frac{1+q^{m+1}}{1-q^{m+1}}\cdots\frac{1+q^{m+t-1}}{1-q^{m+t-1}}\frac{q^{m+t}}{1-q^{m+t}} = \frac{\overline{P}_t(q)-\overline{P}_{t-1}(q)}{2}.$$

Finally, let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)$ be an overpartition of Case (3) with $\lambda_1 = m+t$ (and so $m \geq 1$). We note that $\mu = (\lambda_1 - m, \ldots, \lambda_r - m)$ is an overpartition of $|\lambda| - rm$ with at most r parts and largest part being exactly t. Hence the generating function is

$$\sum_{r\geq 1}\sum_{m\geq 1} q^{rm}\left(\overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r \\ t \end{bmatrix}} - \overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-1 \\ t-1 \end{bmatrix}}\right) = \sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{q^r}{1-q^r}\left(\overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r \\ t \end{bmatrix}} - \overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-1 \\ t-1 \end{bmatrix}}\right).$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{P}_t(q) = &\left(\frac{(-q)_t}{(q)_t} - 1\right) + \frac{\overline{P}_t(q)-\overline{P}_{t-1}(q)}{2} \\ &+ \sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{q^r}{1-q^r}\left(\overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r \\ t \end{bmatrix}} - \overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-1 \\ t-1 \end{bmatrix}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now we take $M \to r$ and $N \to t$ in Eq. (3.1) and rewrite it as

$$\overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r \\ t \end{bmatrix}} - \overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-1 \\ t-1 \end{bmatrix}} = q^t\left(\overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-1 \\ t \end{bmatrix}} + \overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-2 \\ t-1 \end{bmatrix}}\right).$$

We then multiply both sides by $q^r/(1-q^r)$ and sum over r

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{q^r}{1-q^r}\left(\overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r \\ t \end{bmatrix}} - \overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-1 \\ t-1 \end{bmatrix}}\right) \\ &\quad = q^t\left(\sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{q^r}{1-q^r}\overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-1 \\ t \end{bmatrix}} + \sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{q^r}{1-q^r}\overline{\begin{bmatrix} t+r-2 \\ t-1 \end{bmatrix}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

From the foregoing argument, we therefore have

$$\overline{P}_t(q) - \left(\frac{(-q)_t}{(q)_t} - 1\right) - \frac{\overline{P}_t(q)-\overline{P}_{t-1}(q)}{2} = q^t\frac{\overline{P}_t(q)+\overline{P}_{t-1}(q)}{2}.$$

Hence

$$G_t(q) = \frac{\overline{P}_t(q)+\overline{P}_{t-1}(q)}{2} = \frac{1}{1-q^t}\left(\frac{(-q)_t}{(q)_t} - 1\right).$$

□

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank George E. Andrews and Ae Ja Yee for some helpful discussions.

References

1. G. E. Andrews, q-hypergeometric and related functions, *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, 419–433, U.S. Dept. Commerce, Washington, DC, 2010.
2. G. E. Andrews, M. Beck, and N. Robbins, Partitions with fixed differences between largest and smallest parts, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **143** (2015), no. 10, 4283–4289.
3. B. C. Berndt, *Number theory in the spirit of Ramanujan*, Student Mathematical Library, **34**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. xx+187 pp.
4. F. Breuer and B. Kronholm, A polyhedral model of partitions with bounded differences and a bijective proof of a theorem of Andrews, Beck, and Robbins, *Res. Number Theory* **2** (2016), Art. 2, 15 pp.
5. R. Chapman, Partitions with bounded differences between largest and smallest parts, *Australas. J. Combin.* **64** (2016), 376–378.
6. S. Corteel and J. Lovejoy, Overpartitions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), no. 4, 1623–1635.
7. J. Dousse and B. Kim, An overpartition analogue of the q-binomial coefficients, *Ramanujan J.* **42** (2017), no. 2, 267–283.
8. J.-F. Fortin, P. Jacob, and P. Mathieu, Jagged partitions, *Ramanujan J.* **10** (2005), no. 2, 215–235.
9. S.-J. Kang and J.-H. Kwon, Crystal bases of the Fock space representations and string functions, *J. Algebra* **280** (2004), no. 1, 313–349.

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

E-mail address: shanechern@psu.edu; chenxiaohang92@gmail.com